

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: AIS invasive species

From: James Bernard [<mailto:jab458489@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2015 5:18 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: RE: AIS invasive species

James A. Bernard
Bismarck, ND

From: James Bernard [<mailto:jab458489@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 7:42 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: AIS invasive species

I have been practicing these same rules for some years now, I always pull plug on boat and livewells and baitwells prior to leaving cleaning station area. I do not feel it is a inconvenience, most states have similar instructions at the water access points and it seems to be coming an issue on many bodies of water. I always check my motor and trailer for vegetation and drain boat and live wells. it does not take a lot of effort or time.

Sent from Windows Mail

RECEIVED

FEB 23 2015

Dept. of Game, Fish & Parks
Pierre, SD 57501

2/20/15

From: James R. Sherman
1111 1st Ave.
Sturgis, SD 57785
(605) 641-3875

To: John Lott wildinfo@state.sd.us

Subject: A.I.S. proposal

Dear Commissioners: This letter serves as an OBJECTION to the A.I.S. proposal as it is stated on the GFP website.

I have been an avid fisherman in South Dakota since my father introduced me to fishing at age 16, I am now 66 years of age. I as a South Dakota resident DO NOT disapprove of some of the proposal rather I believe the commission should give this Much, Much more consideration in lieu of a knee jerk reaction to the Quagga Mussel and Asian Carp. I find it very disappointing that these species are in fact in South Dakota waters. I am all for prevention of further spread of any and all.

The State of Wyoming has been on board with prevention for the past three years and still do not have accurate measures in place although they are certainly giving it an honest shot. When I take my boat to Wyoming, and I fish Keyhole Reservoir often, I stop at Sundance weigh station for a boat inspection. When passed (and I am very careful of procedures to pass), they tag boat to trailer. I then proceed to Pine Haven to buy bait as we cannot bring SD bait into Wyoming. (that does not make a whole lot of sense as most of the bait comes from the Belle Fourche river.) I am allowed to purchase as much bait as I want 1,2,3 dozen minnows and I get 12, 24 or 36 minnows along with a receipt which can be kept (with unused bait for three weeks). I can transfer that Wyoming bait into SD and back with that receipt. If I come back I still get inspected. If a Wyoming resident takes his boat across state lines, he gets inspected going back to Wyoming.

Now, the 5 gallon rule is being applied to South Dakota residents, Wyoming residents do not need an inspection when they go to the lake. Why are we, South Dakotan's being penalized for someone who brings waters, bait, mussels, carp across our border? I personally do not or have never taken water from the lake to aerate my minnows, I bring that water with me and it is treated tap water, not lake, reservoir or spring water. Perhaps the commission might consider "treated" water the officer can tell as it is blue or

green depending on the water treatment. There is NO DOUBT it is treated water. I cannot see why I would have to kill off the expensive bait I purchase when that bait has never seen invasive species water.

The new proposal is penalizing fishermen without consideration of the ballast boats on Angostura, ski Jets etc. who probably transport more effected water than a fisherman. I can just visualize natural harassment from GFP officials.

I researched this species as I am sure you must have. There is NO research that states that the drastic measures being proposed will stop the spread of AIS. Research DOES show natural predators of the Quagga mussel e.g. Yellow Perch, Drum and Sunfish and Shad all of which are abundant in Angostura.

Perhaps a certified boat inspection and cleaning program might be implemented in Rapid City or even Hot Springs and Yankton so we can get our boats washed properly or at least better than I guess I am washing mine (and it is clean and free of water already when I leave a lake) When I say free of water I mean the live well is drained and the plug is pulled on the boat. The water on the minnows, in the minnow bucket is the same treated water that I came with. I would hope to leave with that same treated water AND the expensive shiner minnows that were bought from a licensed bait dealer.

Another consideration is the policy to have a 'free' fishing, boating and park entrance from our border states. It is not a wonder why we have invasive species from far Western states and who knows where the Asian Carp came from. The "free" policy invites and promotes the spread of invasive species.

With all of the bait being thrown away there is going to be bait scattered all over the place, in dumpsters, on shore, in cleaning stations, all over!

Well that is my take on the matter, give it some serious consideration before making some knee jerk reaction to a very huge problem and please do not penalize fisherman to the degree this proposal does.

Thank you

Jim Sherman
1111 1st Ave.
Sturgis, SD 57785
(605) 641-3875

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Darwin Westphalen [<mailto:westfam@nethtc.net>]

Sent: Saturday, February 21, 2015 3:37 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

I am a angler in Iowa with a boat and we already have these rules and regulations here in Iowa. I think these are great rules to follow to help protect all of our waters.

One question I have regarding these new rules is would South Dakota provide a water hydrant and hose to help in washing washing out livewells and baitwells at boat launches?

Darwin Westphalen
Sheldon, Iowa 51201

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: New regulations to control aquatic species

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Wear [mailto:paul_wear@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 21, 2015 2:31 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: New regulations to control aquatic species

Paul Wear
8405 Dutch hall rd
Omaha NE. 68122

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Paul Wear [mailto:paul_wear@hotmail.com]
> **Sent:** Saturday, February 14, 2015 12:54 PM
> **To:** GFP Wild Info
> **Subject:** New regulations to control aquatic species

>

> I support the reasoning for the changes and for the major impoundments, they don't impose a issue. The challenges I have is I fish the glacial lakes area and the majority of the lake do not have cleaning g stations. Forcing transportation of fish. And the distance to lodging can be up to 40 min of drive time. These regulations a also require additional purchases for live bait mgmt. Or will force anglers to reduce their purchases.

>

> I would like the below changes to be reviewed.

>

> 1) live well and bait well must be empty prior to launch.

> Or

> 2) Live well can be used as a container. Holding no more than 5 gal.

>

> Wording is important as most newer boats have combine live and bait wells. Or they are connected via plumbing to circular water.

>

> Thanks for your review

> Paul.

>

> Sent from my iPhone

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: livewells

From: rdb@acsnet.com [<mailto:rdb@acsnet.com>]

Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2015 9:23 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: livewells

Sir, Ron De Boer Hawarden Ia 51023

From: rdb@acsnet.com [<mailto:rdb@acsnet.com>]

Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2015 9:30 AM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: livewells

SIR, Not sure I understand your thought process. why are you so concerned about the live well water, coming away from a body of water? Shouldn't you be more concerned with what water is in a boat coming into a body of water? After the close of season for fish cleaning stations, if you have to travel any distance the live well is the easiest way to transport your catch. Please consider this issue, Ron De Boer (stuck on the border).

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

-----Original Message-----

From: golfpigeon@cox.net [mailto:golfpigeon@cox.net]

Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2015 4:09 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Bellevue, nebraska

> From: Al [mailto:golfpigeon@cox.net]

> Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:28 AM

> To: SDGFPINFO

> Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

>

> All for these rules.

> If we don't we won't

> Have a good fishing

> Future. Al Hovey

>

>

>

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

-----Original Message-----

From: foxtails@wah.midco.net [mailto:foxtails@wah.midco.net]

Sent: Saturday, February 21, 2015 12:13 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Gerald Fox
Breckenridge, MN

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** foxtails@wah.midco.net [mailto:foxtails@wah.midco.net]

> **Sent:** Saturday, February 14, 2015 9:51 AM

> **To:** SDGFPINFO

> **Subject:** Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species
> in South Dakota

>
> Minnesota has the same rules; also remove any water grass on boats
> and trailers.

>
>
>
>
>

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

-----Original Message-----

From: Barry Schmoker [mailto:bschmok@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2015 5:09 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

I live in Lincoln, Nebraska. Thanks.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Barry Schmoker [mailto:bschmok@gmail.com]

> Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 11:22 AM

> To: SDGFPINFO

> Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South
> Dakota

>

> I think the proposed rules to prevent the spread of invasive species

> is very reasonable and I do not see anything in the proposal that

> should be a great burden on most anglers. If passed, I would hope

> that these regulations are clearly posted at each ramp or exit point

> on these bodies of water as a quick reminder.

>

>

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: William Lehtola [<mailto:wlehtola@gmail.com>]

Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 11:08 AM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

My name is William Lehtola, i am from Lake Norden SD, and i think the new proposed rules for aquatic invasive species sound like a fair way to help control this threat to our state waters.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: New fishing/boat regulations

From: vogel55david [<mailto:vogel55david@gmail.com>]

Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 7:43 AM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: RE: FW: New fishing/boat regulations

David Vogel
29370 sd hwy 34
Pierre Sd 57501

From: vogel55david [<mailto:vogel55david@gmail.com>]

Sent: Sunday, February 08, 2015 9:05 AM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: New fishing/boat regulations

Are you going to check all boats coming to the state to fish?

What will this cost us local anglers who donot travel all over the state to fish?

You might have to really clamp down on tournament anglers.

Got to be careful about the one size fits all regulations. We already have lots of that crap coming out of Washington.

Ascher, Debra

From: Mac & Bev McCracken <macsinsd@enetis.net>
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 6:43 PM
To: GFP Admin Rules
Subject: Aquatic Nuisance Species

Doug Hofer, Acting Secretary of South Dakota Department of Game, Fish & Parks:

This correspondence is in reference to Proposed Rule ARSD 41:10:04, "Aquatic Nuisance Species," which will be discussed on March 5, 2015, at the RedRossa Convention Center, Pierre, South Dakota.

In reviewing the proposed rule, the items that I believe raise concerns are as follows:

- 1) How does the GF&P plan to enforce the proposed rule?
- 2) What will be the penalties/fines?
- 3) What about other water-going vessels, i.e., Jet skis, ski boats, pontoons, canoes, paddleboats? It appears that fishermen are being singled out in this proposed rule.
- 4) What does the terminology, "between water bodies", mean?
- 5) If an individual(s) has a residence on the Oahe Reservoir and that is the only "water body" on which he/she fishes, would they be exempt because they have not gone "between water bodies"?
- 6) In regard to the five gallons of water for transportation of aquatic bait and fish away from the dock where a fisherman has launched and to which he/she returned, does this mean that the Department of Game, Fish & Parks plan to install fish cleaning stations at remote boat ramps to allow fishermen to clean their fish? With a 5-gallon limit for transportation, the fish caught will all be dead by the time they travel to their base location or to another cleaning station. Aquatic Bait will also be dead by the time fishermen travel to their base location, unless a fisherman purchases an aerator for the aquatic bait.

In conclusion, I am aware that Aquatic Nuisance Species are an issue, and we all must do our part in resolving this issue. However, I believe this problem needs further discussion/remedies/solutions on this proposed rule.

Thank you for allowing me to comment on this proposed rule.

Sincerely,

Royal "Mac" McCracken
4111 Carmel Point
Rapid City, SD 57702
(605) 341-7583 (Residence)
(605) 381-1271 (Mobile)
E-mail: macsinsd@enetis.net

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: proposed rules regarding invasive species

From: mike vancleave [mailto:van57401@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 7:05 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: proposed rules regarding invasive species

Please remove the part that says remove all plugs from boats when leaving water. We will be gluing in are plugs to make permanent storage out of the live wells as you are making them no good for anything but tournament fishing which is a bigger problem with the transfer of invasive species then any locale fisherman. You could just say all water has to be removed from live wells and all drain plugs for the bottom of the boat must be puled out .

Thank you,
Mike Van Cleave
825 8th ave s.e
Aberdeen s.d.57401

Ascher, Debra

Subject:

FW:

From: arjo@frontiernet.net [<mailto:arjo@frontiernet.net>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 12:46 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject:

I will support any new laws to help keep A.I.S. from spreading on any waters I fish, but be practical. Thank you. Arland Johnson 103 st St Tamov, NE 68642 Phone-(402) 246-2111 [e-mail-arjo@frontiernet.net](mailto:arjo@frontiernet.net)

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

-----Original Message-----

From: Dan Mortimer [<mailto:mortimer50@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2015 4:51 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Dan Mortimer Box 132 Clear Lake SD 57226

-----Original Message-----

From: Dan Mortimer [<mailto:mortimer50@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:35

AM

To: SDGFPINFO

Subject:

Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Your proposed rules are backward. check boats entering the lakes not leaving. Draining livewells after fishing will not stop invasive species. Are these rules working in states that have added them. NO have rules for boats entering lakes not leaving.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Steve Harrington [<mailto:SHarrington01@comm-works.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 1:33 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Saint Michael, Minnesota. Born and raised in South Dakota.

From: Steve Harrington [<mailto:SHarrington01@comm-works.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:30 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Thanks for the email. My suggestion would be to protect your natural resources at all costs. Not transporting water would never stop a outdoorsmen/women from fishing your state. We already have those restrictions in Minnesota and it's no big deal. I wouldn't let them transport any water it's not worth the risk. Just bring a cooler with some ice. Generally speaking the ones we used to leave in the live well got banged around in transit and were dead when you got home anyway.

My 2 cents.

Steve Harrington |

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Jim Stratton [mailto:jkmc@gctel.net]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 1:39 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Hi

I am in Alexandria Minnesota.

320 834 3728.

Thanks much.

Jim

From: Jim Stratton [mailto:jkmc@gctel.net]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:31 AM

To: SDGFPINFO

Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

I sit on the Minnesota State AIS committee and I feel that this is really needed to get ahead of the curve.

We use the Drain Dry and Clean method here.

When I come over to fish I also use the bleach to live well method.

It just gives me one more way to ensure that if there is anything I missed it will be killed.

Thanks for opportunity to have some feed back.

James Stratton

Douglas County Commissioner

320 808 5096

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: AIS laws

From: Troy Wehking [mailto:troyw@slaytonbuildingmaterials.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 1:45 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: RE: AIS laws

Hope the hearing goes well.

City – My mailing address is Avoca (just outside of Slayton, sorry to make it confusing, my business is in Slayton), State MN

Troy Wehking
Slayton Building Materials
2462 Broadway Ave.
Slayton, MN 56172

From: Troy Wehking [mailto:troyw@slaytonbuildingmaterials.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:24 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: AIS laws

Being from MN I support these new laws. We have been required to do the same here for a while. As I am sure you have seen the trailer law that was suspended in MN, probably a good idea but not practical. That being said we all need to work together to try to come up with new ideas to stop AIS, and us as sportsman should be supportive of you decisions.

Troy

Troy Wehking
Slayton Building Materials
2462 Broadway Ave.
Slayton, MN 56172

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Daryl Russell [<mailto:kcrussell@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2015 4:33 PM

Subject: Re: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

I own a home in Aberdeen, SD and live there on the weekend. I work in the underground physics lab in Lead so I live in Sturgis, SD during the week.

Thanks.

I fish from spring creek to Chamberlain. I transport to ft Pierre for processing.

From: Daryl Russell [<mailto:kcrussell@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Monday, February 09, 2015 12:01 PM

To: SDGFPINFO

Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

I support most of this. But will you make other arrangements for guys like me that catch fish in the 30 to 100 lb size for the amount of water to get them home alive.

Thanks. KC

Ascher, Debra

Subject: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

-----Original Message-----

From: Pat Prickett [mailto:Pat.Prickett@tessiersinc.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 1:19 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Mitchell, SD

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Pat Prickett [mailto:Pat.Prickett@tessiersinc.com]

> **Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:19 AM

> **To:** GFP Wild Info

> **Subject:** Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

>

> It would have to be 5 gallons per person. Let's say u have 4 people who caught there limit of perch. That's 60 fish in five gallons of water. Middle of summer, it could take over 30 minutes easily to get to nearest gas station after loading boat and drive time. Those harvested fish will be ruined, no good to eat. Wouldn't a more effective and easily followed rule be all bilges and live wells must be completely empty before backing boat in the water?

>

> Sent from my iPhone

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Rules

From: Frank Jerrick [<mailto:maveyebefrank@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 2:15 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: FW: Rules

I am a Wisconsin resident, boat owner and fisherman for the the last 50 years. Your new rules should be a minimum.

Frank Jerrick
St Croix Falls, Wi.

From: Frank Jerrick [<mailto:maveyebefrank@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:50 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: Rules

I'm a Wisconsin resident. Your new rules should be a minimum.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Ray Otterson [<mailto:Ray@braatencabinets.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 2:40 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Ray Otterson

351 Spruce Street

Kindred ND 58051

From: Ray Otterson [<mailto:Ray@braatencabinets.com>]

Sent: Friday, February 06, 2015 2:16 PM

To: SDGFPINFO

Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Personally I think there should not be any lake water leaving a lake whatsoever. Bait can be kept in aerated containers with water from bait dealers and harvested fish can be transported on ice in coolers. However, until the water in the water pumps and hulls of all watercraft are somehow emptied or appropriately treated before leaving a body of water or parking lot of the landing of the body of water, the species' will continue their spread. I think most fishermen are willing to do what it takes to help stop the spread because it is going to directly impact the fishery, but other watercraft users are not impacted as much and voluntary compliance will not be followed as well by them. I fish South Dakota, North Dakota and Minnesota and am very concerned about the future of all fisheries and hope the spread of invasive species can be stopped everywhere.

Good luck and thanks for allowing feedback from an out of state fisherman.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: SD DNR Policy

From: MIKE LEONARD [mailto:mikeInrd@msn.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 3:03 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: RE: SD DNR Policy

Mike Leonard, Carroll, IA.

From: tree [mailto:mikeInrd@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2015 11:02 AM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: SD DNR Policy

Sounds reasonable to do what you can to preserve a very valuable state commodity at a small price of inconvenience. Pull plug in boat and live wells and put fish on ice. We will do our best to help this new change.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in SouthDakota

From: Monty Summa [mailto:msumma@browseban.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 3:18 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in SouthDakota

Pierre SD.

From: Monty Summa [mailto:msumma@browseban.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 11:55 AM

To: SDGFPINFO

Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

These rules should be for internal lakes only and not for the Missouri river water.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Barry Stotz [<mailto:bstotz@cortrustbank.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 3:37 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Bowdle, SD

One alternative, I had thought of after commenting, is that people could transport fish in a cooler full ice.

Thanks

From: Barry Stotz [<mailto:bstotz@cortrustbank.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 11:27 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Here are my concerns with the new proposed rules.

I typically fish Lake Oahe, but live 55 miles away. In early Spring before the cleaning tables are open, I have to transport my fish home to clean them. If we have 3-4 people fishing (12-16 fish), 5 gallons of water is not a lot to keep them alive for that distance. I really don't want to eat fish that have been dead for an hour before cleaning, and there are people that travel a lot further to fish. Once the cleaning tables are open I always clean there, but I will transport bait in the live well if I plan to fish the next day. The bait is not a big deal as I can buy new bait each day. I normally don't fish late fall, but I'm sure people would run into the same problem then.

I have never fished in the AIS contaminated waters, but what are people supposed to do there when the cleaning tables are not open? How do you transport fish without water? The only thing I can think of, is people will have to haul clean water to wash with and clean their fish before leaving the property. Then you are going to get dumpsters and garbage cans full of dead fish.

Would it be possible to have the proposed rules in effect from mid Spring until early Fall when cleaning tables are open? If that was the case, I would have no problems with the proposed changes. You clean your fish and dump your bait before you leave the property, end of story!!

It could still be a problem for people who fish where there is no cleaning table.

Barry Stotz

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: invasive species and fishing waters

From: Dana DeWitt [<mailto:ddeWitt@mtmc.edu>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 3:48 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: RE: invasive species and fishing waters

My city is Yankton and my state is South Dakota. I would like to add to my staement the question, how many people will forget to put the plug back in their boat given that they are not used to doing it. Is this new law worth a life??

From: Dana DeWitt [<mailto:ddeWitt@mtmc.edu>]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 2:11 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: invasive species and fishing waters

I would ask that the state make these rules only if they have scientific, factual, statistically backed data that proves the proposals cure or prevent the problem that they are attempting to address.

Sincerely

Dr. Dana C. De Witt

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: proposed rules re AIS

From: Tom Warrant [<mailto:warrant1@frontiernet.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 3:44 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: proposed rules re AIS

Tom Warrant
Lakefield, MN

From: Tom Warrant [<mailto:warrant1@frontiernet.net>]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 1:46 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: proposed rules re AIS

I think the proposed rules are reasonable and easy to comply with. Minnesota and Iowa require the draining of bilge and live wells immediately upon pulling out of the water. In addition, we can not transport minnows...they must be dumped on shore. As for me personally, I have often transported fish in a live well for a short distance from the lake to a cleaning facility. In the future I will just bring another cooler full of ice to comply with the rules. I support the proposed rules, if anything they could be more stringent.

Tom Warrant

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: comment

From: Dr. Traub [mailto:traubdm@rcmed.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 3:47 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: RE: comment

Doug Traub , Rapid City, SOUTH DAKOTA

From: Dr. Traub [mailto:traubdm@rcmed.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 1:41 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: comment

The rules are not too much to do to stop the spread of unwanted species. However...

THE REASONABLE ENFORCEMENT OF THESE RULES IS VERY IMPORTANT.

For example, it is not reasonable for the CO to insist that the boat's plugs be pulled before the boat is pulled out of the water at the dock. This is dangerous causes congestion at the dock, and is nonsensical. If everyone is cool, uses good common sense, and cooperates, then this is no big deal to comply with. The situation I encountered at Orman this summer can thereby be avoided. (2 CO's were trying to enforce that fish" needed to be checked while the boat was still in the water" . A horrendous summer storm with 5 foot waves at the dock was going on, 20 boats were all trying to load at once, and yet , we were supposed to measure our fish at the dock!)

Thank you for taking the first step against invasive species! D Traub

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: New rules

From: Howard Anderson [<mailto:haco@cox.net>]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 3:17 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: New rules

I agree with all of the new rules re: the draining of live wells and plugs as soon as the boat leaves the water.

I do not keep any fish so the other rules do not pertain to me at this time, however I agree with all of the new rules.

Howard Anderson
1705 South 116th Street.
Omaha, Nebraska

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: jody wendte [mailto:jowendte@outlook.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 5:31 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

jody wendte crofton, ne I live on lewis and clark lake and generally dock my boat where there is no fish cleaning facilities. thank u

From: jody wendte [mailto:jowendte@outlook.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2015 12:37 PM
To: SDGFPINFO
Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

my concern is keeping fish fresh prior to cleaning when one uses a boat ramp with no fish cleaning facilities and has several miles to drive to get to home or cleaning site to properly package fish and dispose of carcasses

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: New Regulations

-----Original Message-----

From: Vernell [mailto:vernellking2000@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 4:41 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: New Regulations

Sioux Falls SD

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Vernell King [mailto:vernellking2000@yahoo.com]

> **Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:22 AM

> **To:** GFP Wild Info

> **Subject:** New Regulations

>

>

> What a bunch of insane ideas, if you are trying to figure out ways to decrease fishing activity in general, you have just figured it out!!

>

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Invasive species

-----Original Message-----

From: boberfish@gmail.com [mailto:boberfish@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 5:33 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: Invasive species

Bob Souter

Sioux Falls, SD

>

>-----Original Message-----

>**From:** boberfish@gmail.com [mailto:boberfish@gmail.com]

>**Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:18 AM

>**To:** GFP Wild Info

>**Subject:** Invasive species

>

>The proposals should help slow the spread of invasive species. I am concerned about boats and trailers returning from waters such as lake Erie. People may need more education about cleaning all the wet systems (live wells, pumps and hoses, hulls and trailers)

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: New AIS Rules

From: Kory Kooima [mailto:klkooima@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 12:54 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: FW: New AIS Rules

I'll do 1 better, here's my full address.

Kory Kooima
1812 W 28th St
Sioux Falls, SD, 57105

From: Kory Kooima [mailto:klkooima@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:09 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: New AIS Rules

Thanks for sharing the new proposal to help stop the spread of AIS. While we know the likelihood of stopping this migration is unlikely, I appreciate that our state is making efforts to slow this process.

I've spent some time fishing in Minnesota, and they have similar regulations. Although, they require all water to be drained regardless of location, with the exception to carry bait in tap water only. I've never been sure how the water could be identified in the field, though. It's still a strong effort to help.

As an angler, I know that not being able to carry my day's catch in water to the nearest cleaning station can be challenging and almost a nuisance. However, I believe in conservation and the efforts we put into preserving our resources future generations and strongly suggest we make this proposal even stronger. I suggest we not allow any water in live wells regardless of capacity or water volume. I know the challenge in this to be transporting bait from a retailer to the body of water of our choice.

Regardless of the decision, I appreciate the efforts to help slow the spread of Aquatic Invasive Species in our great state of South Dakota.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: New AIS Rules Input

From: dwrightlc@juno.com [<mailto:dwrightlc@juno.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2015 3:23 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: RE: FW: New AIS Rules Input

J. Dwight L. Corbeil

Detroit Lakes, MN.

From: dwrightlc@juno.com [<mailto:dwrightlc@juno.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 4:04 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: New AIS Rules Input

Thanks for the chance for input. The only thing I would recommend is to allow transport of fish in a cooler with ice in milk jugs; no "non-contained water" is needed in the cooler just the ice jugs. I would prefer not to be restricted to only transporting fish in an open 5 gallon pail.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: New fishing regulations

From: Brian Van Zee [<mailto:brian.vanzee@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 6:24 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: FW: New fishing regulations

Robinson, TX

From: Brian Van Zee [<mailto:brian.vanzee@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 8:05 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: New fishing regulations

As a South Dakota angler and fisheries biologist, I think the new regulations regarding water draining and preventing the spread of aquatic invasive species are a good idea.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: New rules

From: Curtis1201@aol.com [<mailto:Curtis1201@aol.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 3:39 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: New rules

Lincoln, Nebraska

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen Curtis [<mailto:curtis1201@aol.com>]

Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2015 10:18 AM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: New rules

Look fine to me. Already practice them.

Sent from my iP

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: new rule proposals

From: Randy Stattelman [mailto:stattelmanlumber@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 6:24 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: FW: new rule proposals

Clinton, MN

From: Randy Stattelman [mailto:stattelmanlumber@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 12:46 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: new rule proposals

I think all 3 new rules are good ones to help prevent the spread of invasive species

--

Randy Stattelman

--

Randy Stattelman

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: Aquatic Invasion

From: Corey Culp [mailto:coreypd21@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 1:35 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: FW: Aquatic Invasion

Brandon, SD

Corey Culp

From: Corey Culp [mailto:coreypd21@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 9:51 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: Aquatic Invasion

I used to live in Minnesota and there they provide hoses so that voters can also wash down their boats to get rid of any stuff that might be clinging to your boat. The rest is a great idea however it's an honor system and you get not only in Staters but a lot of out of staters who don't follow the rules.

What we really need is to come up with something environmentally friendly that will kill the son of bitches but not harm the fish and the water and people.

It would be a good challenge to send to some of these schools that we have to let them try and create something so the state doesn't have to pay for it

Corey Culp

Ascher, Debra

Subject: bait

-----Original Message-----

From: Jerry Jordan [<mailto:jerryj@rap.midco.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 4:13 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: FW: bait

rapid city,sd

>
>

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Jerry Jordan [<mailto:jerryj@rap.midco.net>]
> **Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 12:09 PM
> **To:** GFP Wild Info
> **Subject:** bait

>
> I hope you are planning on having some type of container to put unused
> bait in. If not, you will have people dumping their bait in the lake.
> The overall plan is good. I hope it works.
>

Ascher, Debra

Subject: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Debra Ascher, Executive Secretary

From: tldanforth52 [mailto:tldanforth52@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 4:23 PM
To: SDGFPINFO
Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

You are making these changes too difficult and good honest fishermen will break the law. Why do you care if its 4.5 or 5.5 gallons of water...honestly I have no idea how much my livewell holds.

Why not make it simple... You can not transport your fish or bait from AIS property with the water coming from that lake or river. PERIOD. You must drain your livewell before leaving such property. And, the GF&P must post all waters so everyone knows the regulations. Simple but more effective then what you have.

Tim Danforth

Watertown, SD

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S® III mini, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks"

Date: 02/05/2015 9:47 AM (GMT-06:00)

To: tldanforth52@gmail.com

Subject: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Invasive species

From: Russ Bartunek [mailto:russ904@sio.midco.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 6:50 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: Invasive species

Russ Bartunek
904 S. Foster Ave
Sioux Falls SD

From: Russ Bartunek [mailto:russ904@sio.midco.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 1:31 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: Invasive species

We should also consider all non-resident boats entering SD to have a certificate of cleaning. The state should be able to set up such an arrangement with are car wash sites.

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: FW: New rules

From: nielsendane [mailto:nielsendane@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 7:41 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: RE: FW: New rules

Dane Nielsen

Custer, SD

From: nielsendane [mailto:nielsendane@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 7:44 AM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: New rules

I understand and would agree to do whatever new rules you decide to help with the SD fisheries.

Dane Nielsen

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: These changes are necessary to attempt some type of control of ANS

-----Original Message-----

From: Corrine Langendorfer [<mailto:cblangendorfer@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 7:49 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: These changes are necessary to attempt some type of control of ANS

Bruce Langendorfer
Wahpeton, North Dakota

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Corrine Langendorfer [<mailto:cblangendorfer@yahoo.com>]

> **Sent:** Friday, February 06, 2015 8:15 AM

> **To:** GFP Wild Info

> **Subject:** These changes are necessary to attempt some type of control of ANS

>

>

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Dennis M. Jones [<mailto:dmjones@sio.midco.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 7:54 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Dennis M. Jones
Sioux Falls, SD 57108

From: Dennis M. Jones [<mailto:dmjones@sio.midco.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2015 8:56 AM
To: SDGFPINFO
Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Good ideas.

----- Original Message -----

From: South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks
To: dmjones@sio.midco.net
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 9:45 AM
Subject: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed new rules from GFP

-----Original Message-----

From: Larsong [<mailto:larsong@knology.net>]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 2:23 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: Proposed new rules from GFP

These new rules are good and make sense to me. We may need more help than just these rules, there needs to be enforcement of these rules, and post what the ramifications are for disregarding the rules.

Glenn Larson
Watertown, SD
57201

Ascher, Debra

Subject:

FW: fishing

From: Judy Zins [<mailto:fjzins@hotmail.com>]

Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 7:59 AM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: fishing

These are good proposals, we do most of our fishing in North Dakota where these are already in force and working really well,go for it.cheers

Florian Zins, Flasher North Dakota 58564

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Rules

-----Original Message-----

From: Ron Manz [<mailto:ron.manz@reagan.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 8:34 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: Rules

Ron manz
425 Crescent Dr.
Crescent IA

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Ron Manz [<mailto:ron.manz@reagan.com>]

> **Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 5:29 PM

> **To:** GFP Wild Info

> **Subject:** Rules

>

> That is what we do. We do fill a bucket from the hydrant for the cleaned fish

>

> Sent from my iPad

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Comments on proposed changes to AIS control

-----Original Message-----

From: wrwussow@gmail.com [<mailto:wrwussow@gmail.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 8:05 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: Comments on proposed changes to AIS control

I live on lake Amelia in Pope county Minnesota.

My address is.....

16134 SW Amelia Rd.
Glenwood, MN. 56334

> -----Original Message-----

> From: wrwussow@gmail.com [<mailto:wrwussow@gmail.com>]

> Sent: Friday, February 06, 2015 7:26 AM

> To: GFP Wild Info

> Subject: Comments on proposed changes to AIS control

>

> I think it is extremely important that these changes be enacted. As a lake home owner and sportsman in MN I have witnessed how easily and quickly AIS can enter and spread in a lake. I think the proposed steps are needed but I am also cognizant and concerned about how effectively they can be enforced. Old habits are hard to break and my observation is that while most folks understand the source and seriousness of the the problem a surprising number of folks seem too lazy or too set in their ways to change. Observed AIS behavior reminds me of recycling behavior. People understand its importance but many still don't do it. New habits are tough to establish.

>

> Perhaps the key to reaching the desired success will be to focus as much energy and resources on implementing enforcement practices (creating new habits) as we do to develop containment tactics. It's a big challenge.

>

> Sincerely,
> Bill Wussow,

>

> email: wrwussow@gmail.com

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Jeff Miles [mailto:vikings_156@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 8:06 PM
To: Ascher, Debra
Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Mankato Mn

From: Jeff Miles [mailto:vikings_156@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2015 1:16 PM
To: SDGFPINFO
Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

I think its great idea to enforce these rules. I live in MN where there are lakes you literally cannot fish when the invasive weeds come up. I'm all for it

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Jessen, Jon [<mailto:Jessen@deerequipment.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 8:09 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Ree Heights, S.D.

From: Jessen, Jon [<mailto:Jessen@deerequipment.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 7:51 PM

To: SDGFPINFO

Subject: RE: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

Sounds good to me!

Ascher, Debra

Subject: FW: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

From: Rusty & Judy [<mailto:rustyjudy@live.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2015 9:32 PM

To: Ascher, Debra

Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

OK. 1928A Hillsview Dr Rapid City, SD

From: Rusty & Judy [<mailto:rustyjudy@live.com>]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:45 AM

To: SDGFPINFO

Subject: Re: Proposed New Rules Regarding Aquatic Invasive Species in South Dakota

As one who fishes these waters regularly, though inconvenient, I believe these new rules should be implemented and are reasonable.

Perry Lewis

On Feb 5, 2015, at 8:46 AM, South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks <sdgfpinfo@state.sd.us> wrote: